



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_6414
Title of the Manuscript:	AN AGRONOMIC AND ECONOMIC EVALUATION OF INTEGRATED USE OF <i>Calliandra callothyrsus</i> AND MAIZE STOVER WITH UREA IN WESTERN KENYA
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Abstract should include design of study and site of study Support facts in introduction with refs eg Kenya poverty increasing (line 30) In line 83 the subheading 'study sites' contradicts with line 85 which only refers study site. Clarify and be consistent on number of sites. Line 252 Table 6. Give units or currency of costs Line 255 table 7. Show currency of costs	All the necessary corrections have been made in the appropriate sections and are highlighted in yellow in the manuscript. Only one site was used. The correction has been made. The units are US dollars. Corrections appropriately done in relevant tables
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 68. Describe Calliandra a little more eg a legume tree or shrub Line 89-90 recommend refer to actual thresholds when indicating pH, nitrogen is low, and give a reference for it Line 120 give basis for the blanket rates applied and a reference Line 123 give yield potential and maturity period of the variety used Line 128. to capitalise Analysis of Variance	All the necessary corrections have been made in the appropriate sections and are highlighted in yellow in the manuscript.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Good paper	
---	------------	--